

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/051,463	01/18/2002	Jeannette C. Roberts	U2370-1	2964	
23859	7590 12/05/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
NEEDLE & ROSENBERG, P.C. SUITE 1000 999 PEACHTREE STREET			PESELEV, ELLI		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA,	GA 30309-3915		1623		
•			, DATE MAN ED. 12/05/2001		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/051,463	ROBERTS ET AL.				
.سه	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
		Elli Peselev	1623				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspond nce addr ss				
	iod for Reply	//o o== == = =	(a) <b>==</b> a				
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ma	arch 2003.					
		action is non-final.					
;	3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disp	position of Claims						
4	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,9-13 and 16-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
:	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,9-13 and 16-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•				
App	lication Papers						
!	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
1	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
1	1) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Prio	ority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13	2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestical since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provided the priorical service of the priorical servic	s have been received. s have been received in Application in Appli	ion No  ed in this National Stage  ed.  e) (to a provisional application)  r in an Application Data Sheet.  eeived.  and/or 121 since a specific				
Attac	hment(s)						
1) 🔲	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>9</u> .	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/051,463

Art Unit: 1623

In view of the preliminary amendment filed March 10, 2003, the rejection of September 16, 2003 is herein withdrawn and an action on the merits of all the claims in the case is presented below.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-2, 9-13 and 20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,340,746 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed compounds are encompassed by the patented compounds.

Claims 2 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear what is meant by the terminology "derived from".

It has been noted that applicant states that claims 1, 9-13 and 16-20 are pending. However, note that claim 2 has not been cancelled.

Application/Control Number: 10/051,463

Art Unit: 1623

Claim 16 is indefinite in that it is not clear to whom the prodrug is being administered.

Claims 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claims 16 and 18 are directed to reducing the toxic insult in a mammal.

However, the specification fails to teach what is encompassed by the terminology "toxic insult" and what are its causes. Further, the specification fails to teach mode of administration and an effective amount of the active ingredient.

Claims 17 and 19 are directed to (1) reducing unwanted side effects of chemo- or radiotherapy of cancer, (2) improving cardiovascular function, (3) preventing mutagenesis, (4) preventing the initiation and/or progression of cancer, (5) reducing toxic consequences of planned or unplanned radiation or chemical exposures, (6) slowing the aging process, or (7) preventing cataract formation. However, note that on page 1 of the specification it is stated that the uses set forth in claims 17 and 19 are "potential". It appears that said uses set forth in the claims are merely speculative and would require further research and an undue amount of experimentation to determine which specific uses are actually credible. Note that the specification fails to set forth any evidence that the claimed methods have the uses as claimed and fails to teach a mode of administration and an effective amount of the active ingredient.

Application/Control Number: 10/051,463

Art Unit: 1623

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Elli Peselev whose telephone number is 308-4616. The

examiner can normally be reached on 9.30-6.00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, James Wilson can be reached on 703-308-1235. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1235.

Elli Peselev

ELLI PESELEV
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1800

Page 4